FISHER & PHILLIPS LLP	3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 950	Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
-----------------------	---------------------------------------	-------------------------

1	FISHER & PHILLIPS LLP DAVID B. DORNAK, ESQ.					
2	Nevada Bar No. 6274 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway					
3	Suite 950 Las Vegas, NV 89169					
4	Telephone: (702) 252-3131 FAX: (702) 252-7411					
5	ddornak@laborlawyers.com Attorneys for Defendant					
6	Attorneys for Defendant					
7	UNITED STATE DISTRICT COURT					
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA					
9	BART STEPHENS and DUANE HUTCHINGS,					
10	Plaintiffs, vs.	Case No. 2:13-cv-01712-JAD-PAL				
11	ONE NEVADA CREDIT UNION f/m/k	STIPULATION AND ORDER				
12	NEVADA FEDERAL CREDIT UNION, DOES	TO EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINES				
13	I through V, and ROE CORPORATIONS I through V.	(Second Request)				
14		(Second Request)				
15	Defendant.					
15 16	Defendant.					
		CREED by and between the parties'				
16	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND A	•				
16 17	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND A counsel of record that the Scheduling Order (Dk	t. #26) and First Stipulation and Order				
16 17 18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND A counsel of record that the Scheduling Order (Dk to Extend Discovery Deadlines (Dkt. #45) in this	t. #26) and First Stipulation and Order case be extended as follows:				
16 17 18 19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND A counsel of record that the Scheduling Order (Dk to Extend Discovery Deadlines (Dkt. #45) in this 1. Discovery Deadline – October 2, 2	t. #26) and First Stipulation and Order case be extended as follows:				
16 17 18 19 20	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND A counsel of record that the Scheduling Order (Dk to Extend Discovery Deadlines (Dkt. #45) in this 1. Discovery Deadline – October 2, 2 2. Dispositive Motion Deadline – No	t. #26) and First Stipulation and Order case be extended as follows: 2015. vember 2, 2015.				
16 17 18 19 20 21	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND A counsel of record that the Scheduling Order (Dk to Extend Discovery Deadlines (Dkt. #45) in this 1. Discovery Deadline – October 2, 2 2. Dispositive Motion Deadline – No 3. Joint Pretrial Order Deadline –	t. #26) and First Stipulation and Order case be extended as follows: 2015. vember 2, 2015. December 2, 2015. In the event				
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND A counsel of record that the Scheduling Order (Dk to Extend Discovery Deadlines (Dkt. #45) in this 1. Discovery Deadline – October 2, 2 2. Dispositive Motion Deadline – No 3. Joint Pretrial Order Deadline – dispositive motion(s) are filed, the date for filed.	t. #26) and First Stipulation and Order case be extended as follows: 2015. vember 2, 2015. December 2, 2015. In the event ling the joint pretrial order shall be				
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND A counsel of record that the Scheduling Order (Dk to Extend Discovery Deadlines (Dkt. #45) in this 1. Discovery Deadline – October 2, 2 2. Dispositive Motion Deadline – No 3. Joint Pretrial Order Deadline – dispositive motion(s) are filed, the date for fi suspended until 30 days after the Court enters a	t. #26) and First Stipulation and Order case be extended as follows: 2015. vember 2, 2015. December 2, 2015. In the event ling the joint pretrial order shall be				
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND A counsel of record that the Scheduling Order (Dk to Extend Discovery Deadlines (Dkt. #45) in this 1. Discovery Deadline – October 2, 2 2. Dispositive Motion Deadline – No 3. Joint Pretrial Order Deadline – dispositive motion(s) are filed, the date for fi suspended until 30 days after the Court enters a otherwise by further order of the Court.	t. #26) and First Stipulation and Order case be extended as follows: 2015. vember 2, 2015. December 2, 2015. In the event ling the joint pretrial order shall be a ruling on the dispositive motions, or				
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND A counsel of record that the Scheduling Order (Dk to Extend Discovery Deadlines (Dkt. #45) in this 1. Discovery Deadline – October 2, 2 2. Dispositive Motion Deadline – No 3. Joint Pretrial Order Deadline – dispositive motion(s) are filed, the date for fi suspended until 30 days after the Court enters a	t. #26) and First Stipulation and Order case be extended as follows: 2015. vember 2, 2015. December 2, 2015. In the event ling the joint pretrial order shall be a ruling on the dispositive motions, or on of these deadlines, and is submitted				

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

discovery cut-off date.	The parties pr	rovide the	following	information	to th	e court	in
connection with their rec	quest for an ext	tension.					

Discovery Completed To Date

The parties have exchanged initial disclosures. The parties have also completed the following written discovery: (1) Defendant's First Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff Hutchings; (2) Defendant's First Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff Stephens; (3) Defendant's First Set of Request for Production of Documents to Plaintiff Hutchings; (4) Defendant's First Set of Request for Production of Documents to Plaintiff Stephens; (5) Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories to Defendant; (6) Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents to Defendant; and (7) Plaintiff's Second Set of Production of Documents to Defendant.

The plaintiffs have also completed the following depositions: (1) Manager Alan Chang; (2) Employee Linda Belloti; (3) Employee Silvia Garcia; (4) Employee Barbara Higgins; (5) Plaintiff's wife Isabel Hutchings; (6) Manager Chris Wible; and (7) Executive Paul Parrish. Defendant has further requested and received documents from the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and has requested, but awaiting a response, from the Nevada Labor Commissioner.

Discovery That Remains To Be Completed

Defendant will need to take the dispositions of both Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs will need to take further depositions of managers and Human Resources employees of Defendant. Defendant will also be responding to Plaintiffs' third, fourth and fifth requests for production of documents.

Reasons Why Discovery Remaining Cannot Be Completed Within the Time Limits

Although the parties filed a Joint Notice (Dkt. #30) on January 23, 2015, that the matter was subject to Early Neutral Evaluation ("ENE") Session, this case was not scheduled for ENE Session until April 21, 2015. The parties agreed to not spend significant time and money on discovery if the case could settle. Unfortunately, the

Case 2:13-cv-01712-JAD-PAL Document 51 Filed 07/14/15 Page 3 of 3

case did not settle and the late setting on the ENE Session by the Court has resulted in			
insufficient time to complete discovery pursuant to the original deadlines.			
After the ENE Session, the parties have diligently engaged in both written			
discovery and depositions. They have completed seven depositions and numerous			
written discovery. There is also three outstanding requests for production of document			
propounded by Plaintiffs, which Defendant will respond to in July and August 2015			
The parties, however, need additional time to take further depositions.			
It should also be noted that Plaintiffs filed their Amended Complaint on Marc			
17, 2015. Defendant then filed its answer to the Amended Complaint on April 3, 2015			
The parties are currently addressing the new allegations through discovery.			
Based upon on the foregoing, the parties believe there is good cause for the			
requested extension.			
IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED:			
ALTHEA GILKEY, CHTD FISHER & PHILLIPS LLP			
Dated: July 13, 2015 Dated: July 13, 2015			
By: /s/ Althea Gilkey, Esq. Althea Gilkey, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 6312 2980 S. Rainbow Blvd. Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 Attorney for Plaintiffs By: /s/ David B. Dornak, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 6274 3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy. Suite 950 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Attorneys for Defendant			
IT IS SO ORDERED UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Dated: July 14, 2015			